IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| Application Serial No. | |
|---|----------------|
| Filing Date | |
| Inventor | |
| Group Art Unit | 2128 |
| Examiner | Saif A. Alhija |
| Attorney's Docket No. | |
| Confirmation No. | |
| Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR INTEGRATED RESERVOIR AND | |
| SURFACE FACILITY NETWORKS SIMULATIONS | |

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF DECEMBER 15, 2009

Commissioner for Patents To:

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From:

Mark C. Farrell Reg. No. 45,988 (Tel. 509-290-6316) Farrell Patent Law PC P.O. Box 142056 Spokane, WA 99214

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

This amendment is in response to the Office Action dated December 15, 2009 with a three month shortened statutory period for reply. Applicant submits that the pending claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests issuance of the subject application.

A Statement of the Substance of an Examiner Interview begins on page 3 of this Response.

A Listing of the Claims begins on page 4 of this Response.

Remarks begin on page 8 of this Response.

- Claims 1-4 were previously pending.
- Claims 1-4 are currently amended.
- Claims 6-8 are new.
- Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are currently pending.

Statement of Substance of Examiner Interview

Under 37 CFR 1.133

A telephonic Examiner interview between Examiner Saif Alhija and Applicant's counsel, Mark Farrell, was held on February 8, 2010.

Several amendment *concepts* for Claims 1 and 2 were proposed by the Applicant, such as reciting that each simulation task can advance independently to the next synchronization step using different time steps and Newton iterations as each simulation task requires.

The Examiner suggested the possibility of amending to recite that the system or controller couples two *different types* of reservoir simulations, with the two simulations *differentiated* in the claim language. The Applicant also suggested the element of multiple reservoir simulations coupled with Applicant's controller and advancing independently or "asynchronously" through time. The Examiner did not commit to allowability without seeing specific amendments in a Response. Thus, agreement was not reached on any claim at this time.